Categorical Exclusion Documentation

Qwest Corporation d/b/a CenturyLink QC DOI-BLM-P010-2013-0022-CX

A. Background

BLM Office: Hassayampa Field Office (HFO)

Lease/Serial/Case File No.: AZA-16999

Proposed Action Title/Type: Right-of-Way Renewal/Amendment

Location of Proposed Action:

T. 8 N., R. 5 W., G&SRM, Arizona Section 6, lots 4, 5, 14, 15. (10' wide R/W)

T. 9 N., R. 5 W., G&SRM, Arizona Section 31, lots 2, 3, 4. (10' wide R/W)

T. 10 N., R. 5 W., G&SRM, Arizona Section 14, lot 3; (20' wide R/W) Section 21, lots 8, 13, 14; (20' wide R/W) Section 29, SE¹/4SW¹/4, SE¹/4. (20' wide R/W)

T. 10 N., R. 6 W., G&SRM, Arizona Section 25, SE¹/₄NW¹/₄SE¹/₄, SE¹/₄. (20' wide R/W)

Description of Proposed Action: CenturyLink QC is requesting that AZA-16999 be renewed as well as amended to include new telecommunication lines (aerial and buried) within existing facilities and R/Ws. The purpose of the R/W is for telecommunication facilities. The width of this R/W varies from 10' to 20' feet (see legal descriptions above) and has a total length of 14.571.91' for a total of 4.955 acres.

B. Land Use Plan Conformance

Land Use Plan (LUP) Name: The Bradshaw-Harquahala Resource Management Plan (RMP). This proposed action has been reviewed for conformance with these plans (43 CFR 1610.5-3, BLM Manual 1601.04.C.2) Date Approved: April 2010

L	T	The proposed	l action is in co	onformance '	with the a	applicable I	LUP beca	use it is s	pecifically
ľ	orov	ided for in th	ne following L	LUP decision	(s):				

X The proposed action is in conformance with the LUP, even though it is not specifically provided for, because it is clearly consistent with the following LUP decision(s) (objectives, terms, and conditions): Specifically, in the Bradshaw-Harquahala Record of Decision and Approved Resource Management Plan (RMP), page 33, under Land Use Authorizations, LR-25 states, "Continue to issue land use authorizations (rights-of-way, leases, permits, easements) on a case-by-case basis and in accordance with resource management prescriptions in this land use plan."

C: Compliance with NEPA:

The Proposed Action is categorically excluded from further documentation under the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) in accordance with 516 DM 2, Appendix 1, or 516 DM 11.5: *E.* (9) "Renewals and assignments of leases, permits or rights-of-way where no additional rights are conveyed beyond those granted in the original authorizations".

- (13) "Amendments to existing rights-of-way, such as the upgrading of existing facilities, which entail no additional disturbances outside the right-of-way boundary."
- (16) "Acquisition of easements for an existing road or issuance of leases, permits, or rights-of-way for the use of existing facilities, improvements, or sites for the same or similar purposes."

This categorical exclusion is appropriate in this situation because there are no extraordinary circumstances potentially having effects that may significantly affect the environment. The proposed action has been reviewed, and none of the extraordinary circumstances described in 516 DM 2 or 516 DM 11.5 apply.

D: Signature		
Authorizing Official:	/S/	Date:08/07/2013
	D. Remington Hawes	
	Field Manager, HFO	

Contact Person

For additional information concerning this CX review, contact: Jim Andersen (623-580-5570) jvanders@blm.gov

BLM Categorical Exclusions: Extraordinary Circumstances Attachment 1

46.215) apply. The project would: 1. Have significant impacts on public health or safety Yes			en reviewed to determine if any of the extraordinary circumstances (43 CFR
Yes No Rationale: □ X 2. Have significant impacts on such natural resources and unique geographic characteristics as historic or cultural resources; park, recreation or refuge lands; wilderness or wilderness study areas; wild or scenic rivers; national natural landmarks; sole or principal drinking water aquifers; prime farmlands; wetlands (Executive Order 11990); floodplains (Executive Order 11988); national monuments; migratory birds (Executive Order 13186); and other ecologically significant or critical areas? Yes No Rationale: □ X 3. Have highly controversial environmental effects or involve unresolved conflicts concerning alternative uses of available resources [NEPA Section 102(2)(E)]? Yes No Rationale: □ X		110	1 0
 X 2. Have significant impacts on such natural resources and unique geographic characteristics as historic or cultural resources; park, recreation or refuge lands; wilderness or wilderness study areas; wild or scenic rivers; national natural landmarks; sole or principal drinking water aquifers; prime farmlands; wetlands (Executive Order 11990); floodplains (Executive Order 11988); national monuments; migratory birds (Executive Order 13186); and other ecologically significant or critical areas? Yes No Rationale: X 3. Have highly controversial environmental effects or involve unresolved conflicts concerning alternative uses of available resources [NEPA Section 102(2)(E)]? Yes No Rationale: X 			
2. Have significant impacts on such natural resources and unique geographic characteristics as historic or cultural resources; park, recreation or refuge lands; wilderness or wilderness study areas; wild or scenic rivers; national natural landmarks; sole or principal drinking water aquifers; prime farmlands; wetlands (Executive Order 11990); floodplains (Executive Order 11988); national monuments; migratory birds (Executive Order 13186); and other ecologically significant or critical areas? Yes No Rationale: 3. Have highly controversial environmental effects or involve unresolved conflicts concerning alternative uses of available resources [NEPA Section 102(2)(E)]? Yes No Rationale: X	Yes	No	Rationale:
2. Have significant impacts on such natural resources and unique geographic characteristics as historic or cultural resources; park, recreation or refuge lands; wilderness or wilderness study areas; wild or scenic rivers; national natural landmarks; sole or principal drinking water aquifers; prime farmlands; wetlands (Executive Order 11990); floodplains (Executive Order 11988); national monuments; migratory birds (Executive Order 13186); and other ecologically significant or critical areas? Yes No Rationale: X 3. Have highly controversial environmental effects or involve unresolved conflicts concerning alternative uses of available resources [NEPA Section 102(2)(E)]? Yes No Rationale: X		X	
as historic or cultural resources; park, recreation or refuge lands; wilderness or wilderness study areas; wild or scenic rivers; national natural landmarks; sole or principal drinking water aquifers; prime farmlands; wetlands (Executive Order 11990); floodplains (Executive Order 11988); national monuments; migratory birds (Executive Order 13186); and other ecologically significant or critical areas? Yes No Rationale: 3. Have highly controversial environmental effects or involve unresolved conflicts concerning alternative uses of available resources [NEPA Section 102(2)(E)]? Yes No Rationale: X	2. H		icant impacts on such natural resources and unique geographic characteristics
water aquifers; prime farmlands; wetlands (Executive Order 11990); floodplains (Executive Order 11988); national monuments; migratory birds (Executive Order 13186); and other ecologically significant or critical areas? Yes No Rationale: 3. Have highly controversial environmental effects or involve unresolved conflicts concerning alternative uses of available resources [NEPA Section 102(2)(E)]? Yes No Rationale: X			
(Executive Order 11988); national monuments; migratory birds (Executive Order 13186); and other ecologically significant or critical areas? Yes No Rationale: 3. Have highly controversial environmental effects or involve unresolved conflicts concerning alternative uses of available resources [NEPA Section 102(2)(E)]? Yes No Rationale: X	st	udy areas	; wild or scenic rivers; national natural landmarks; sole or principal drinking
and other ecologically significant or critical areas? Yes No Rationale: X 3. Have highly controversial environmental effects or involve unresolved conflicts concerning alternative uses of available resources [NEPA Section 102(2)(E)]? Yes No Rationale: X			
Yes No Rationale: 3. Have highly controversial environmental effects or involve unresolved conflicts concerning alternative uses of available resources [NEPA Section 102(2)(E)]? Yes No Rationale: X			
 X 3. Have highly controversial environmental effects or involve unresolved conflicts concerning alternative uses of available resources [NEPA Section 102(2)(E)]? Yes No Rationale: X 			
3. Have highly controversial environmental effects or involve unresolved conflicts concerning alternative uses of available resources [NEPA Section 102(2)(E)]? Yes No Rationale: X	Yes	No	Rationale:
3. Have highly controversial environmental effects or involve unresolved conflicts concerning alternative uses of available resources [NEPA Section 102(2)(E)]? Yes No Rationale: X		X	
concerning alternative uses of available resources [NEPA Section 102(2)(E)]? Yes No Rationale: X	3. H		v controversial environmental effects or involve unresolved conflicts
Yes No Rationale: X		· ·	
4. Have highly uncertain and potentially significant environmental effects or involve unique	4 1		
or unknown environmental risks?			•
Yes No Rationale:			
5. Establish a precedent for future action, or represent a decision in principle about future			
actions, with potentially significant environmental effects?			
Yes No Rationale:	Yes	No	Rationale:
		X	
6. Have a direct relationship to other actions with individually insignificant, but	6. H		ect relationship to other actions with individually insignificant, but
cumulatively significant, environmental effects?			
Yes No Rationale:		1	
		X	
7. Have significant impacts on properties listed or eligible for listing, on the National		_	
Register of Historic Places as determined by either the Bureau or office?			
Yes No Rationale:	Yes	No	Kationale:
		X	

8. H	ave signif	ficant impacts on species listed, or proposed to be listed, on the List of			
Eı	ndangered	d or Threatened Species, or have significant impacts on designated Critical			
	_	these species?			
Yes	No	Rationale:			
105	110				
	X				
9. V	iolate a F	ederal law, or a State, local, or tribal law or requirement imposed for the			
pr	protection of the environment?				
Yes	No	Rationale:			
	X				
10. H	ave a disr	proportionately high and adverse effect on low income or minority populations			
	-	Order 12898)?			
Yes	No	Rationale:			
105	110	Tutto in the second sec			
	X				
11. Li	mit acces	s to and ceremonial use of Indian sacred sites on Federal lands by Indian			
re	ligious pr	actitioners, or significantly adversely affect the physical integrity of such			
sa	cred sites	(Executive Order 13007)?			
Yes	No	Rationale:			
	\mathbf{X}				
12. C	ontribute	to the introduction, continued existence, or spread of noxious weeds or non-			
		sive species known to occur in the area, or actions that may promote the			
introduction, growth, or expansion of the range of such species (Federal Noxious Weed					
		t and Executive Order 13112)?			
Yes	No	Rationale:			
100	1 10	**************************************			
	X				

Approval and Decision Attachment 2

Compliance and assignment of responsibility: Jim Andersen Monitoring and assignment of responsibility: Jim Andersen

Prepared by:	/S/	Date::	_08/05/2013
	Jim Andersen Project Lead		
Reviewed by:	/S/	Date::	08/05/2013
	Leah Baker Planning & Environmental Coordinator		
Reviewed by:	/S/	Date:	08/07/2013
220,20,100,000	D. Remington Hawes Manager		
Project Description: The renewal and amer	ndment of an existing right-of-way (R/W) issued	to Owest	Corporation d/b/a
	A-16999). The purpose of the R/W is for teleco		
I have determined that	review of the project described above and field of the project is in conformance with the land use penvironmental analysis. It is my decision to appreparing measures.	plan and i	s categorically
		Datas	
Approved By:		Date:	

AZA-16999 Mitigating Measures

- The holder shall designate a representative who shall have the authority to act upon and to implement
 instructions from the authorized officer. The holder's representative shall be available for communication
 with the authorized officer within a reasonable time when construction or other surface disturbing activities
 are underway.
- 2. Any cultural and/or paleontological resource (historic or prehistoric site or object) discovered by the holder, or any person working on his behalf, on public or Federal land shall be immediately reported to the authorized officer. Holder shall suspend all operations in the immediate area of such discovery until written authorization to proceed is issued by the authorized officer. An evaluation of the discovery will be made by the authorized officer to determine appropriate actions to prevent the loss of significant cultural or scientific values. The holder will be responsible for the cost of evaluation and any decision as to proper mitigation measures will be made by the authorized officer after consulting with the holder.
- 3. The holder shall protect all survey monuments found within the right-of-way. Survey monuments include, but are not limited to, General Land Office and Bureau of Land Management Cadastral Survey Corners, reference corners, witness points, U.S. Coastal and Geodetic benchmarks and triangulation stations, military control monuments, and recognizable civil (both public and private) survey monuments. In the event of obliteration or disturbance of any of the above, the holder shall immediately report the incident, in writing, to the authorized officer and the respective installing authority if known. Where General Land Office or Bureau of Land Management right-of-way monuments or references are obliterated during operations, the holder shall secure the services of a registered land surveyor or a Bureau cadastral surveyor to restore the disturbed monuments and references using surveying procedures found in the Manual of Surveying Instructions for the Survey of the Public Lands in the United States, latest edition. The holder shall record such survey in the appropriate county and send a copy to the authorized officer. If the Bureau cadastral surveyors or other Federal surveyors are used to restore the disturbed survey monument, the holder shall be responsible for the survey cost.
- 4. The holder shall conduct all activities associated with the construction, operation and termination of the right-of-way within the authorized limits of the right-of-way. Authorized limits include construction as near as possible to the existing road and under no circumstances should any staging areas be constructed on adjacent BLM administered lands.
- 5. No heavy equipment may be driven across the non-right-of-way lands. All driving shall be confined to the right-of-way.
- 6. The holder shall inform the authorized officer within 48 hours of any accidents on federal lands that require reporting to the Department of Transportation as required by 49 CFR Part 195.
- The holder of this right-of-way grant or the holder's successor in interest shall comply with Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 (42 U.S.C. 2000d et seq.) and the regulations of the Secretary of the Interior issued pursuant thereto.
- 8. All waste material resulting from construction or use of the site by holder shall be removed from the site.
 All waste disposal sites on public land must be approved in writing by the authorized officer in advance of use.
- 9. Use of pesticides or Polychlorinated Biphenyls (PCB) shall comply with the applicable Federal and state laws. Pesticides shall be used only in accordance with their registered uses and within limitations imposed by the Secretary of the Interior. Prior to the use of pesticides, the holder shall obtain from the authorized officer written approval of a plan showing the type and quantity of material to be used, pest(s) to be controlled, method of application, location of storage and disposal of containers, and any other information deemed necessary by the authorized officer. Emergency use of pesticides shall be approved in writing by the authorized officer prior to such use.

- 10. No construction or routine maintenance activities shall be performed during periods when the soil is too wet to adequately support construction equipment. If such equipment creates ruts in excess of **four** inches deep, the soil shall be deemed too wet to adequately support construction equipment.
- 11. During construction, drilling and other activities covering trenches and slope ends to prevent animals from becoming trapped.
- 12. Any exceptions to these requirements must have prior written approval from the authorized officer. Please be advised that due to limits on the available time of qualified personnel, the unpredictability of wildlife, and future weather conditions, request for exceptions to impending wildlife stipulations will only be considered in the event of extraordinary and unavoidable occurrences over which the company has little or no control. Additionally, construction of the road needs to be started in a time frame which would allow for reasonably normal completion prior to the beginning date of wildlife protection stipulations.
- 13. The holder shall re-contour the disturbed area and obliterate all earthwork by removing embankments, backfilling excavations, and grading to re-establish the approximate original contours of the land in the right-of-way.
- 14. The holder shall be responsible for weed control on disturbed areas within the limits of the right-of-way. The holder is responsible for consultation with the authorized officer and/or local authorities for acceptable weed control methods (within limits imposed in the grant stipulations).
- 15. Construction sites shall be maintained in a sanitary condition at all times; waste materials at those sites shall be disposed of promptly at an appropriate waste disposal site. "Waste" means all discarded matter including, but not limited to, human waste, trash, garbage, refuse, oil drums, petroleum products, ashes, and equipment.
- 16. A litter policing program shall be implemented by the holder, and approved of in writing by the authorized officer, which covers all roads and sites associated with the right-of-way.
- 17. The holder shall meet Federal, State, and local emission standards for air quality.
- 18. The holder shall conduct all activities associated with the construction, operation, and termination of the right-of-way within the authorized limits of the right-of-way.
- 19. Holder shall maintain the right-of-way in a safe, usable condition, as directed by the authorized officer. (A regular maintenance program shall include, but is not limited to, blading, ditching, culvert installation, and surfacing).
- 20. The holder shall comply with all applicable Federal laws and regulations existing or hereafter enacted or promulgated. In any event, the holder(s) shall comply with the Toxic Substances Control Act of 1976, as amended (15 U.S.C. 2601, et seq.) with regard to any toxic substances that are used, generated by or stored on the right-of-way or on facilities authorized under this right-of-way grant. (See 40 CFR, Part 702-799 and especially, provisions on polychlorinated biphenyls, 40 CFR 761.1-761.193.) Additionally, any release of toxic substances (leaks, spills, etc.) in excess of the reportable quantity established by 40 CFR, Part 117 shall be reported as required by the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act of 1980, Section 102b. A copy of any report required or requested by any Federal agency or State government as a result of a reportable release or spill of any toxic substances shall be furnished to the authorized officer concurrent with the filing of the reports to the involved Federal agency or State government.